## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RONALD JACKSON, No. 3:18-CV-01290

Plaintiff, (Chief Judge Brann)

v.

SCI HUNTINGDON PRISON OFFICIALS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

## **ORDER**

## **NOVEMBER 8, 2022**

In accordance with the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, IT IS

HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings (Doc. 92) is
   GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.
- 2. Judgment on the pleadings is **GRANTED** as to Plaintiff's retaliation claim; Plaintiff's claims arising from the payment of copays;

  Plaintiff's claim that contraband was planted in his cell and that fraudulent disciplinary proceedings were initiated against him based on the contraband; Plaintiff's claim that the disciplinary process afforded him violated his right to due process; Plaintiff's claim that Defendants interfered with his mail; and Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim.

- 3. Plaintiff's remaining claims that he was transferred to SCI-Pine Grove in retaliation for the filing of grievances and that his property was damaged during the transfer are **DISMISSED** for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery (Doc. 88) is **DENIED AS** MOOT.
- The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in favor of Defendants and close this case.

BY THE COURT:

<u>s/Matthew W. Brann</u>Matthew W. BrannChief United States District Judge